

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington D.C. 20231 www.uspid.com

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/350,989 07/12/1999 FRANCESCO BETORI 33655YD002 9096

7590

01/16/2003

SMITH GAMBRELL & RUSSELL LLP BEVERIDGE DEGRANDI WEILACHER & YOUNG INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GR 1850 M STREET NW SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20036

EXAMINER GRAYBILL, DAVID E

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2827

DATE MAILED: 01/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	7	09/350,989	BETORI, FRANCESCO
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		David E Graybill	2827
-	- The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address
Period for	r Reply DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F	REPLY IS SET TO EXPIR	E 3 MONTH(S) FROM
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimur period will apply and will expire SIX	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n <u>15 October 2002</u> .	
2a)⊠		This action is non-final	
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice on of Claims	allowance except for form under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19	al matters, prosecution as to the merits is 35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-20 is/are pending in	the application.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2 and 12-14</u> is	/are withdrawn from consi	deration.
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6-11 and 15-30</u> is/are rejo	ected.	
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requireme	ent.
Applicat	ion Papers		
9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[accepted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objecti	on to the drawing(s) be held	h apeyance. See 37 CFN 1.03(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed or	Is: a) approved	b) usapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are requir		11.
1	The oath or declaration is objected to by	THE EXAMINET.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	r i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	1.5.C. & 119(a)-(d) or (f)
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r toreign priority under 35 t	J.3.0. 8 1 18(a)-(d) or (i).
a	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been receiv	ed.
	2. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been receiv	red in Application No
*	application from the Internati See the attached detailed Office action f	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17 or a list of the certified cop	oles not received.
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
	a) The translation of the foreign langual Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	lage provisional applicatio	n has been received.
Attachme			
1) No	cice of References Cited (PTO-892) cice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC commation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper)-948) 5)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/350,989

Art Unit: 2814

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 19 the limitation "the pre-formed portion" is unclear because the limitation refers to a "pre-formed portion" but there is no apparent previous claim-recitation of a pre-formed portion. Moreover, the referent of the limitation cannot be determined.

Claim 12 has not been rejected over the prior art because, in light of the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections supra, there is a great deal of confusion and uncertainty as to the proper interpretation of the limitations of the claim; hence, it would not be proper to reject the claims on the basis of prior art.

As stated in In re Steele, 305 F.2d 859, 134 USPQ 292 (CCPA 1962), a rejection should not be based on considerable speculation about the meaning of terms employed in a claim or assumptions that must be made as to the scope of the claims.

See also MPEP 2173.06.

Art Unit: 2814

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or
patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign
country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 7-10 and 17, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by Sato (6462424) or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over the combination of Sato (6462424) and applicant's admitted prior art.

At column 9, line 61 to column 16, line 33, and column 27, line 37 to column 28, line 6, Sato teaches an electrically tested electronic device, free from infantile mortality, for direct mounting on a printed circuit board, wherein said electronic device comprises a silicon die 11 having a top surface, a bottom surface, and four side edges, in which an integrated circuit is realized externally accessible through a

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/350,989

Art Unit: 2814

plurality of connection pads 13 and an array of connection pins 14 which are mechanically and removably connected to the die by connection means 21 for removable attachment of said pins, and are electrically connected to the connection pads of the die by electric connection means 15, said electronic device being partially packaged in a resin, wherein each of said connection pins includes a first end portion and a second end portion 19c and a bend portion formed between said first and second portions with said bend portion not being encapsulated in said resin, in order to accommodate the thermal expansion difference between the silicon die and a printed circuit board 48 on which said electronic device is mounted; the pads are arranged along a central line on a side of the die and the pins are attached to the edges of the same side of the die; the pins are obtained by cutting a continuous strip support 27 on which the dies are mounted, wherein the connection pins are distributed on all four side edges of the die, and the electronic device is partially packaged in a resin so as to comprise a semi-package 17 that covers a first surface of the die where the connection pads are arranged together with their connections to the pins made by welded bonding wires ("wire-bonding"), as well as all flanks of the die, leaving an opposite second surface of the die exposed, the electric connection means between the connection pins and

Art Unit: 2814

the connection pads are made by welded thin bonding wires ("wire-bonded"), the device is partially packaged in a resin so as to comprise a semi-package, that covers a first surface of the die where the connection pads are arranged together with the connection means between the connection pins and the connection pads, as well as all side edges of the die, leaving an opposite, second surface of the die exposed, and the connection means for removable attachment of the pins is a glue.

To further clarify the teaching "for direct mounting on a printed circuit board," this statement of intended use does not structurally limit the product of the applied prior art, and the product of the applied prior art is inherently capable of being used for the claimed intended use.

To further clarify the teaching, "removably connected," this limitation is inherent in the product of Sato because the pins of Sato are capable of being removed.

To further clarify the teaching "for removable attachment of said pins," this statement of intended use does not structurally limit the product of the applied prior art, and the product of the applied prior art is inherently capable of being used for the claimed intended use.

To further clarify the teaching, "electrically tested electronic device, free from infantile mortality," this

Application/Control Number: 09/350,989

Art Unit: 2814

preambular limitation is a product by process limitation, and the product of Sato appears to be identical to the product of the instant product by process claim.

In the alternative, in the specification at page 2, line 16 to page 4, line 37, applicant admits as prior art an electrically tested electronic device, free from infantile mortality. In addition, it would have been obvious to combine applicant's admitted prior art product with the product of Sato because it would facilitate product reliability.

Claims 11, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato, and the combination of Sato and applicant's admitted prior art as applied to claims 1, 3, 7-10 and 17, 18 and 20, and further in combination with McShane (5311057).

Sato does not appear to explicitly teach that the connection means for removable attachment of the pins to the die is a double-sided adhesive tape. Nevertheless, at column 3, lines 37-57; column 5, lines 28-35; and column 7, lines 3-41, McShane teaches a double-sided adhesive tape connection means 20 for removable attachment of pins 18 to a die 12. Moreover, it would have been obvious to combine the product of McShane with the product of Sato because it would provide a connection means.

Application/Control Number: 09/350,989

Art Unit: 2814

Also, Sato does not appear to explicitly teach that the device includes a three or more point, conductive bar aimed at distributing an electric ground potential or power supply to three or more points of the die.

Nevertheless, as cited, McShane teaches a three point conductive bar ("three conductive layers") aimed at distributing electric ground and power supply to points of the die.

Although the applied prior art does not appear to explicitly teach the limitation, "aimed at distributing the electric ground potential or power supply to three or more points of the die," this statement of intended use does not structurally limit the product of the applied prior art, and the product of the applied prior art is inherently capable of being used for the claimed intended use.

Applicant's amendment and remarks filed 10-15-2 have been fully considered and are adequately addressed in the rejection supra.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action

Art Unit: 2814

is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any telephone inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status (MPEP 203.08) of this application or proceeding should be directed to Group 2800 Customer Service whose telephone number is 703-306-3329.

Any telephone inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David E. Graybill at (703) 308-2947. Regular office hours: Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

The fax phone number for group 2800 is 703/308-7722.

David E. Graybill Primary Examiner Art Unit 2827

D.G. 10-Jan-03